

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/659,853	Dahlberg	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Katherine A. Bareford.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Rolf Fasth.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 May 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Fasth agreed to an Examiner's Amendment, as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment, to cancel claim 6 and amend claim 10 in order to put the case in condition for allowance. The Examiner and Mr. Fasth also discussed the meaning of the term length in the claims, that it meant the distance running from the tip of the doctor blade to the doctor blade holder..